

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 14

Schlumberger Intellectual Property Law Department Schlumberger-Doll Research Old Quarry Road Ridgefield, CT 06877-4108

In re Application of

Boyle, et al.

Application No. 09/881,333

Filed: June 14, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 60.1336

COPY MAILED

FEB 2 5 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 10, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-cited application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed September 16, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month from its mailing date. Extensions of the time set for reply were available pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a). No response was received within the allowable period. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 17, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 2, 2003.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

In re Application of Boyle, et al. 09/881,333

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The response to the restriction requirement filed November 10, 2003, is noted and made of record.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3600 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0010.

Kenya A. McLaughlin Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

cc:

John L. Lee Lee Patent Service 48 Summer Street Stoneham, MA 02180